



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cel

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/674,370 | 09/30/2003 | Xiaowei Yao | 30320/15636 | 5196 |
| 4743 | 7590 | 08/29/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | MALSAWMA, LALRINFAMKIM HMAR | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2823 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/674,370 | YAO ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lex Malsawma | 2823 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lex Malsawma. (3) _____.

(2) Aaron Peters (Reg. No. 48,801). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10,14-16,22,23,27 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Baba (5,751,063), Lee (2002/0140085) and Taguchi (4,464,420).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to Baba as applied to claims 22,27,28,16 and 23, the recited limitation for a "single" heat sink was discussed. With respect to claims 10,14,15,16 and 23, features of the first, second and third metal patterns were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required